

[REDACTED]

Sizewell C

I have recently been made aware that a 1 million square foot warehouse currently consented for storage and distribution may be used for assembly of components for Sizewell C this involves a possible change of use . The site is around 30 miles from the Sizewell C site. [Sizewell C leases giant Orwell Logistics Park in Ipswich](#) Clearly this is further evidence that there is insufficient land on site particularly relevant to Change 3 NMC.

This relates to a statement made by SZC engineers at a Public Accounts committee

Attached below

Sizewell

Members of Parliament on the House of Commons Public Accounts Committee (PAC) have quizzed representatives from Sizewell C and the government on the project's progress. Sizewell C chief executive officer [REDACTED] used the session on Tuesday (16 June) as an opportunity to provide updates on several areas of construction, including the roads and railways needed to support the construction of the nuclear power plant on the Suffolk coast. Department for Energy Security and Net Zero (DESNZ) officials also appeared before the committee, including permanent secretary [REDACTED] second permanent secretary [REDACTED] and director of new nuclear projects [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] Cann described the risks he sees Sizewell C facing between now and putting the foundations in for unit 1, something termed "J-zero". The two planned units will produce 3.2GW of electricity, enough for 6M homes. "It is a long project – over 10 years – so we absolutely need to focus. I describe my challenges between now and what we call J-zero, which is putting the foundations in for unit 1, as being about retiring the equipment risk by putting as much equipment built in Orwell, which is our **one million-square-foot** warehouse that we have got ready to go, and retiring the risk in the enabling works. **He also said he wanted to "modularise" Sizewell C. It has previously been described by Sizewell C as a large modular reactor.**

Please consider whether this additional information may be further evidence of lack of space on the construction site requiring a complete reassessment of the DCO permitted.

Regards [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]